Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/708,168	NGUYEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ARTHUR O. HALL	3714
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>ARTHUR O. HALL</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephen Glade</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 April 2010</u>	Time: <u>5:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103(a)		
Claims discussed:		
1, 23, 37		
Prior art documents discussed:  Karmarkar (US7,285,048), Veradej (US2003/0092489), Steelberg (US2002/0142844), Labrou et al. (US7,606,560), Saunders et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Arthur O Hall/		
Examiner, Art Unit 3714 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner and applicants discussed claim amendments that would recite that the one or more servers provided are operated by a provider in the providing step, that the verification device is configured ot be used in the same location as the remote gaming terminal, that the second communication link is different from the first communication link, that the third party is a separate entity from the provider, that the one or more servers are located in a different location than the authentication center, and determine whether the authentication center is to be further defined as a server..